Course Description: Political psychology aims to understand how our psychology influences our institutions and how our institutions influence our psychology. Political psychology is a broad field with feet in psychology, political science, communications, and sociology. Yes. Political psychology has four feet. This course will introduce students to the field of political psychology from a primarily social psychological perspective, although readings will come from and touch on a variety of disciplines. We will learn about the political psychology of persuasion, bias, prejudice, racism, collective action, and political engagement and how our identities, personalities, and contexts shape our political attitudes and behaviors. We will critically evaluate the relationship between our psychology and our institutions and how psychology can be levered to help our institutions better embody democratic values.

Learning Objectives:
- You will learn the content and methods of political psychology scholarship.
- You will be able to apply political psychology to understand past and present world events.
- You will learn how to communicate about political psychology topics and controversies.

Required Text:

It’s a Pandemic: As long as we are in this pandemic, there is a chance that it will disrupt the class. We are a community of political psychologists and part of being in a community is making decisions to help keep the entire community healthy. The best way to do this is to get fully vaccinated as soon as possible (if you haven’t already). The vaccines are safe and they work. They are this era’s moon landing. They are incredible feats of bioengineering that will save countless lives. Celebrate them by getting them injected into your veins (ok ok, just your arm). The two next best things that you can do is to regularly wear a mask indoors and to stay home when you aren’t feeling well. As you will see below, you are able to miss several class meetings before losing any points. Please use this flexibility to stay home when you are not feeling well and especially if you test positive for COVID. Do not try to “power through”. Stay home. Take naps. In the event the princess bride forces us to be online, don’t worry. I’ve taught this class online and it works fine. We’ll just meet on Zoom and continue learning in that
environment. In the event we move online, I will do everything I can to communicate with the class the plan at as early of a stage as possible. It will work out.

**Activities:** You will meet the learning objectives by…

- …reading articles from academic journals, newspapers, and blogs
- …participating in course lectures and discussions
- …writing an Op-Ed
- …analyzing a debate in political psychology

**Attendance and Participation** is required. You will receive 1 point for attending each meeting. *For full credit for the course you need to attend 21 of the 25 meetings.* 21 is less than the number of meetings, which means that you can miss some meetings for any reason without any penalty. You do not need to explain your absence. Like really. You can just not show up and I won’t say anything.

There are also several additional class activities that are worth additional points. By 9am on Monday, September 6th you should complete the class attitudes survey. A link will be posted to D2L. It is worth 2 points. If you do not complete this assignment by 9am on the 6th, you can complete it by 9am on the 7th for 1 point. After this, completing the survey is worth 0 points.

On Thursday, September 23rd the political psychologist Jan Voelkel (Stanford University) will discuss his work on moral reframing with the class. To facilitate the discussion, each student should write 1 discussion question about the op-ed he co-author (the assigned reading for September 23rd). This question is worth 2 points. Your discussion question is due by 9am on Thursday, September 23rd. If you do not turn in your question by this time, you have the opportunity to write a discussion question, worth 1 point, for another class period. This will need to be arranged with Dr. Brandt. Rubric and further discussion question advice is near the end of the syllabus.

Writing is inevitably a social endeavor. To capitalize on this, we will have two, in-class writer’s workshops. In these workshops, you will read a classmate’s draft and give them feedback. They will also read your draft and give you feedback. This is not only a chance to improve your writing, but also a chance to earn 10 points for each writer’s workshop (20 points in total). If you would like to complete additional writer’s workshops with a new/different classmate, you may do this for 2 extra credit point (maximum of two times per paper).

**Writing assignments** are described in detail near the end of this syllabus. They make up the bulk of the possible points in the course. The op-ed writing assignment asks you to choose an empirical and peer-reviewed article in political psychology and write about it to make a persuasive point in an op-ed styled article. The debate writing assignments asks you to choose a debate in political psychology (possible debates are listed further down), analyze that debate, and come to a conclusion about the debate. Each writing assignment has multiple steps. You receive points for each step.

**Extra Credit** is possible. The *first type* of extra credit is always possible. You can write a discussion question about the reading or podcast for a given meeting. This question must be turned in by 9am the day of the meeting we will be discussing the reading or podcast for which you are writing a discussion question. Each question is worth 1 point. You can do this 5 times.
Note that you cannot do it for meetings where we do not have any assigned readings etc to discuss. Rubric and further discussion question advice is near the end of the syllabus.

The second type of extra credit is always possible. You create a meme that illustrates a concept in the course. It is worth 1 point. You can do this 2 times. It should be turned in by the end of the day on Friday, December 10th.

The third type of extra credit is always possible and was already mentioned. You can complete additional writer’s workshops with a member of the class. This partner should be different from prior partners that you’ve had for the writer’s workshop. It will earn you 2 points and you can do it 2 times per paper (4 times in total).

The fourth type of extra credit is not guaranteed and may or may not occur. Some additional in-class activities may be counted as extra credit. This is at the discretion of Dr. Brandt. If you ask Dr. Brandt about this option it is less likely to occur, so just come to class, work hard, and it’ll happen.

Assignments, Due Dates, and Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attendance</td>
<td>Each meeting</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes Survey</td>
<td>09/06 9am</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Voelkel Discussion Questions</td>
<td>09/23 9am</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Op-Ed Writer’s Workshop</td>
<td>10/28</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debate Writer’s Workshop</td>
<td>11/30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Attendance and Participation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>45</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Writing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Op-Ed Select Topic On Time</td>
<td>09/09</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Op-Ed 1st Draft</td>
<td>10/11 9am</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Op-Ed Final Draft</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debate Select Topic On Time</td>
<td>10/14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debate Final Draft</td>
<td>12/15</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debate Presentation</td>
<td>See schedule</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Writing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>144</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Course Total 189

Distribution of Points for Grades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Points</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>170+</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Aim for this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160-169</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Be happy with this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151-159</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141-150</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132-140</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Try to avoid this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122-131</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113-121</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;113</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Please not this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: There will be no “rounding up” because all of these values are already rounded up.
House Rules

I value open discussion of all of the relevant topics related to the day’s topic. I want to hear your thoughts and for you to feel comfortable expressing them in the class. A large part of what I enjoy about teaching this course is hearing your thoughts, opinions, and analysis of the topics we discuss.

All I ask is that you are respectful to your colleagues in the class and to people and groups not present in the class. Discussing politics can sometimes be a touchy issue. Therefore, I ask that we make sure that we all make an effort to be respectful to one another and to keep the discussions we have in the course confidential. Racism, sexism, anti-LGBTQ prejudice, religious prejudice, political prejudice etc will not be tolerated. In addition, smoking, fight clubs, dinosaur breading, and minotaur hunting are forbidden.

Although I personally have strong political opinions and values, it does not matter to me whether or not we agree or disagree. The only values that are relevant to the course are those fundamental to democracy, including equality, political freedom, and rule of law.

Schedule & Readings

All class meetings are 3pm to 4:20pm, unless otherwise noted

Content warning: We will discuss topics that can make people feel uncomfortable because of their own experiences with the topic, how the topic makes them feel about themselves, or because it contradicts how they have thought about the world.

Week 1: Introduction
Thursday, September 2, 2021
  • To read
    o The syllabus
    o Come to class with any questions you have about the syllabus
  • Activity Due
    o Complete the class survey by 9am on Monday, September 6

Week 2: Ideology and Partisanship
Tuesday, September 7, 2021
  • To read

Thursday, September 9, 2021
  • To read
    o Krupnikov & Ryan, “The real divide in America is between political junkies and everyone else”. The New York Times,

• Activity Due
  o Make op-ed topic & article choice

Week 3: Polarization
Tuesday, September 14, 2021
• To read

Thursday, September 16, 2021
• To read

Week 4: Persuasion
Tuesday, September 21, 2021
• To listen
• To read

• Activity due
  o Jan Voelkel discussion question due on Thursday the 23rd at 9am

Thursday, September 23, 2021
• In-class activity
  o Interview with Jan Voelkel!
• To read

Week 5: Living with the Past
Tuesday, September 28, 2021
• To read

**Thursday, September 30, 2021**
- To read
  - Richeson, “Americans Are Determined to Believe in Black Progress Whether it’s happening or not”, *The Atlantic*

**Week 6: The Anger Gap I**
**Tuesday, October 5, 2021**
- To read
  - Phoenix, Chapter 1

**Thursday, October 7, 2021**: No class
- Use time to work on 1st op-ed draft
- **Activity Due**
  - 1st Draft Op-Ed on October 11th by 9am

**Week 7: The Anger Gap II**
**Tuesday, October 12, 2021**
- To read
  - Phoenix, Chapter 2

**Thursday, October 14, 2021**
- To read
  - Phoenix, Chapter 3
- **Activity due**
  - Rank choices for Debate Assignment

**Week 8: The Anger Gap III**
**Tuesday, October 19, 2021**
- Phoenix, Chapter 4
- **My activity due**
  - I give you debate topics

**Thursday, October 21, 2021**: Halfway there!
- Phoenix, Chapter 5 & 6
- **My activity due**
  - I return your Op-Ed first drafts

**Week 9: Writer’s workshop and fall break**
**Tuesday, October 26, 2021**: Fall break, no class

**Thursday, October 28, 2021**
- In-class activity
Week 10: Climate Change

*Tuesday, November 2, 2021*

- To read

*Thursday, November 4, 2021*

- To read

Week 11: Propaganda and Conspiracy

*Tuesday, November 9, 2021*

- To listen

- To read

*Thursday, November 11, 2021*

- In-class activity (nothing for you to prepare)
  - Bad News Game

  **Activity Due**
  - Op-Ed final drafts due on Friday at 9am

Week 12: The Police

*Tuesday, November 16, 2021*

- To read

*Thursday, November 18, 2021*

- To read

- To listen
  - “Legitimate Authority (with Sara Benesh)”, *Tatter*, [https://tatter.fireside.fm/61](https://tatter.fireside.fm/61)
Week 13: Morality and Politics
Tuesday, November 23, 2021
- To listen
- To read

Thursday, November 25, 2021: Thanksgiving break, no class
- Use this time to take a nap

Week 14: Writer’s workshop
Tuesday, November 30, 2021
- In-class activity
  - Debate writers workshop
- My activity due
  - I return your Op-Ed final drafts

Thursday, December 2, 2021: No Class Meeting
- Use this time to work on your debate paper and presentations 😊

Week 15: Debate Presentations!
Tuesday, December 7, 2021
- Activity due
  - First sets of groups present

Thursday, December 9, 2021
- Activity due
  - Second sets of groups present

Final Exam Week
Wednesday, December 15, 2021
- Activity due
  - Debate paper by 10am

Commit to Integrity: Academic Honesty

Article 2.III.B.2 of the Academic Rights and Responsibilities states that “The student shares with the faculty the responsibility for maintaining the integrity of scholarship, grades, and professional standards.” In addition, the [insert name of unit offering course] adheres to the policies on academic honesty as specified in General Student Regulations 1.0, Protection of Scholarship and Grades; the all-University Policy on Integrity of Scholarship and Grades; and Ordinance 17.00, Examinations. See Spartan Life Online (splife.studentlife.msu.edu) and/or the MSU Website (msu.edu) for more.
Therefore, unless authorized by your instructor, you are expected to complete all course assignments, including homework, lab work, quizzes, tests and exams, without assistance from any source. You are expected to develop original work for this course; therefore, you may not submit course work you completed for another course to satisfy the requirements for this course. Also, you are not authorized to use the www.allmsu.com Web site to complete any course work in this course. Students who violate MSU academic integrity rules may receive a penalty grade, including a failing grade on the assignment or in the course. Contact your instructor if you are unsure about the appropriateness of your course work. (See also the Academic Integrity webpage.)

Limits to Confidentiality

Essays, journals, and other materials submitted for this class are generally considered confidential pursuant to the University's student record policies. However, students should be aware that University employees, including instructors, may not be able to maintain confidentiality when it conflicts with their responsibility to report certain issues to protect the health and safety of MSU community members and others. As the instructor, I must report the following information to other University offices (including the Department of Police and Public Safety) if you share it with me:

- Suspected child abuse/neglect, even if this maltreatment happened when you were a child,
- Allegations of sexual assault or sexual harassment when they involve MSU students, faculty, or staff, and
- Credible threats of harm to oneself or to others.

These reports may trigger contact from a campus official who will want to talk with you about the incident that you have shared. In almost all cases, it will be your decision whether you wish to speak with that individual. If you would like to talk about these events in a more confidential setting you are encouraged to make an appointment with the MSU Counseling Center.

Inform Me of Any Accommodations Needed

From the Resource Center for Persons with Disabilities (RCPD): Michigan State University is committed to providing equal opportunity for participation in all programs, services and activities. Requests for accommodations by persons with disabilities may be made by contacting the Resource Center for Persons with Disabilities at 517-884-RCPD or on the web at rcpd.msu.edu. Once your eligibility for an accommodation has been determined, you will be issued a Verified Individual Services Accommodation (“VISA”) form. Please present this form to me at the start of the term and/or two weeks prior to the accommodation date (test, project, etc.). Requests received after this date will be honored whenever possible.

Disruptive Behavior

Article 2.III.B.4 of Student Rights and Responsibilities for students at Michigan State University states: “The student's behavior in the classroom shall be conducive to the teaching and learning process for all concerned.” Article 2.III.B.10 states that “The student and the faculty share the responsibility for maintaining professional relationships based on mutual trust and civility.” General Student Regulation 5.02 states: “No student shall . . . obstruct, disrupt, or interfere with the functions, services, or directives of the University, its offices, or its employees (e.g., classes, social, cultural, and athletic events, computing services, registration, housing and food services, governance meetings, and hearings).” Students whose conduct adversely affects the learning environment may be subject to disciplinary action through the Student Judicial Affairs office.
Assignments

Discussion questions (both the assigned and the extra credit discussion questions) need to consist of a clearly defined question that moves the discussion beyond the contents of the reading. A good discussion question cannot be a leading question (e.g., that makes the correct answer known) and cannot be answered with a simple "yes" or "no" (unless there is room for follow-up). Instead, good discussion go beyond the specific reading, video, or podcast to draw out connections and implications. You might consider the following types of questions:

- Challenge questions: interrogate assumptions, conclusions or interpretations
- Relational questions: ask for comparisons of themes, ideas, or issues
- Action questions: call for a conclusion or action
- Extension questions: expand the discussion
- Hypothetical questions: pose a change in the facts or issues
- Priority questions: seek to identify the most important issue(s)

It is often tempting to ask too many questions at once. This can make the questions less useful for encouraging discussion and may confuse which issue is the most important to consider when discussing the question. Questions should be written with correct grammar and clear language.

All discussion questions will be graded with this rubric:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clear question that demonstrates understanding of the reading(s) and moves the discussion beyond the contents of the reading</th>
<th>Full Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question demonstrates understanding of the reading(s) and moves discussion beyond contents of reading(s), but is not clear</td>
<td>¾ Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question demonstrates understanding of the reading(s)</td>
<td>½ Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question demonstrates partial understanding of the reading(s)</td>
<td>¼ Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off topic, offensive, incomplete, or otherwise not following the assignment</td>
<td>0 Points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meme is a word coined by Richard Dawkins in his book *The Selfish Gene*. Now it can refer to a number of things that might go viral on the internet. The meme extra credit option asks you to make a meme illustrating a concept from the course. It can be any political psychology topic from the course (i.e. you can’t use my musings about my cat for your meme). Although meme's can take many forms, for this assignment I recommend the classic image + text style of meme (see right). However, if you’d like to make a gif or a tiktok styled meme you can.

All meme’s will be graded with this rubric:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demonstrates understanding of course content using a meme format</th>
<th>Full Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates partial understanding of course content using a meme format</td>
<td>½ Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off topic, offensive, incomplete, or otherwise not following the assignment</td>
<td>0 Points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**The op-ed writing assignment** requires that you write an op-ed to express an opinion/make a point using a political psychology article. An op-ed is an opinion piece often printed opposite the editorial page (hence, op-ed) in print media. Of course, now that many newspapers and magazines are primarily online, this name doesn't exactly make sense. Nonetheless, an op-ed is an opinion piece.

Your op-ed should…

- Take a position on (makes an argument for a position regarding) a social, political, educational, or cultural issue. Op-eds are not reportage or literature reviews. Please review [http://www.theopedproject.org/](http://www.theopedproject.org/), the lecture slides, and read a few newspaper op-ed sections for examples and more background.
- Cite and describe at least one political psychology study relevant for your topic. Using a description-plus-link style to cite the study (e.g., “As Tyler Okimoto and Victoria Brescoll showed in a recent study, women who were seeking power were less likely to receive votes…” You can make the “showed” word a hyperlink to their study). The description of the study will be brief (you don’t have much space!) and used to support your point.
- Be between 600 and 1000 words.
- Follow general guidelines for writing op-eds: from lecture in class and from the op-ed project. Note that these are not rigid formulas, but rather suggestions and heuristics to follow.
- Be about a topic that interest you. You can form a thesis based on the topics discussed in class, topics from other courses on related topics, or your prior interests and experiences.
- Ground your opinion in political psychology. That is, back up your argument with at least one peer reviewed empirical study published in a political psychology journal. If you are unsure if a study “counts” as political psychology ask me.
- Use a recent event as a hook. The best hooks are often things that your reader (i.e. the general public) can find interest in. Therefore, rather than using a unique personal experience as a hook, use an event or experience that people are more broadly aware of. Traditionally, these are events from the news (e.g., global, local etc). For example, rather than leading with “Last week I experienced…” you might lead with “Last week in a small town in northern Michigan…”.

The assignment has several stages.

**First**, you are asked to turn in your topic and the study you will be using to support your thesis. For this, you will need to turn in your thesis (one or two sentences **max**) and the complete APA citation for the article(s) you will be using to support your thesis. I will give you feedback based on these two things. Note that you can change your topic after this if you so choose. You will receive full points for turning this in on time. You can turn in your topic late for half credit as along as it is turned in before you turn in your first draft.

**Second**, you will turn in a completed 1st draft of your op-ed to me. This will receive a grade and it will receive feedback from me. This draft needs to be a good attempt! However, it will not be graded with the same high standards as the final draft. Note that although this is called a 1st draft, you will need more than 1 draft for yourself. When I write, I often go through several drafts before I share a draft with other people. If you do not turn it in on time, you can turn it in late with a 1 point penalty per day. However, you cannot turn in the first draft after the final draft is due. If you turn it in late, I cannot guarantee timely feedback (sorry!).
The first draft will be graded with the following rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full Points</th>
<th>Also Full Points</th>
<th>¾ Points</th>
<th>½ Points</th>
<th>¼ Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Focus:</strong></td>
<td>Op-ed maintains focus on topic/subject throughout response.</td>
<td>Op-ed may exhibit minor lapses in focus on topic/subject.</td>
<td>Op-ed may lose or may exhibit major lapses in focus on topic/subject.</td>
<td>Op-ed may fail to establish focus on topic/subject.</td>
<td>Op-ed lacks focus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 pts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Persuasive strategies:</strong></td>
<td>The writer uses effective strategies to appeal to the reader's values and beliefs.</td>
<td>Most of the writer's appeals to the readers' values and beliefs are effective.</td>
<td>The writer's appeals to the readers' values and beliefs are uneven, though overall they are adequate.</td>
<td>One or more of the writer's appeals to the readers' values and beliefs are significantly inadequate.</td>
<td>The writer did not use any effective appeals to the readers' values and beliefs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 pts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evidence:</strong></td>
<td>The writer's organization and logic is strong. Research effectively supports the argument and is correctly cited, both in-text and on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td>Most of the writer's organization and logic is strong. Most of the research supports the argument and is correctly cited both in-text and on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td>The writer's organization and logic is uneven, though overall they are adequate. The research is uneven in quality, though overall it is adequate, and it is mostly correctly cited both in-text and on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td>The writer has at least one major problem with organization and/or logic. Significant portion of research fails to support the argument and/or is incorrectly cited either in-text and on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td>The writer did not use effective organization and/or logic. No research and/or fails to support the argument and/or is incorrectly cited either in-text or on Reference page or notes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 pts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Writing and Grammar:</strong></td>
<td>There are few, if any, errors. Writing is clear and concise.</td>
<td>There are a few surface errors but they are not distracting. Writing is clear and concise.</td>
<td>Some surface errors are distracting, though they don't impede overall communication. Writing is mostly clear and/or a bit wordy.</td>
<td>Surface errors sometimes make it difficult to understand the writer's message. Writing is generally</td>
<td>Surface errors make it very difficult to understand the writer's message. Writing is unclear and/or wordy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 pts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Third, after taking into account my feedback and the feedback of your peers in our in-class writing workshop, you will turn in your final draft.

The **final draft** will be graded with the following rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus: 6 pts.</th>
<th>Full Points</th>
<th>¾ Points</th>
<th>½ Points</th>
<th>¼ Points</th>
<th>0 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Response maintains focus on topic/subject throughout response.</td>
<td>Response may exhibit minor lapses in focus on topic/subject.</td>
<td>Response may lose or may exhibit major lapses in focus on topic/subject.</td>
<td>Response may fail to establish focus on topic/subject.</td>
<td>Response lacks focus.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Persuasive strategies: 9 pts.</th>
<th>Full Points</th>
<th>¾ Points</th>
<th>½ Points</th>
<th>¼ Points</th>
<th>0 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The writer uses effective strategies to appeal to the reader's values and beliefs.</td>
<td>Most of the writer's appeals to the readers' values and beliefs are effective.</td>
<td>The writer's appeals to the readers' values and beliefs are uneven, though overall they are adequate.</td>
<td>One or more of the writer's appeals to the readers' values and beliefs are significantly inadequate.</td>
<td>The writer did not use any effective appeals to the readers' values and beliefs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence: 9 pts.</th>
<th>Full Points</th>
<th>¾ Points</th>
<th>½ Points</th>
<th>¼ Points</th>
<th>0 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The writer's organization and logic is strong. Research effectively supports the argument and is correctly cited, both in-text and on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td>Most of the writer's organization and logic is strong. Most of the research supports the argument and is correctly cited both in-text and on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td>The writer's organization and logic is uneven, though overall they are adequate. The research is uneven in quality, though overall it is adequate, and it is mostly correctly cited both in-text and on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td>The writer has at least one major problem with organization and/or logic. Significant portion of research fails to support the argument and/or is incorrectly cited either in-text or on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td>The writer did not use effective organization and/or logic. No research and/or fails to support the argument and/or is incorrectly cited either in-text or on Reference page or notes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Writing and Grammar: 6 pts.</th>
<th>Full Points</th>
<th>¾ Points</th>
<th>½ Points</th>
<th>¼ Points</th>
<th>0 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There are few, if any, errors. Writing is</td>
<td>There are a few surface errors but they are not distracting.</td>
<td>Some surface errors are distracting, though they don't impede</td>
<td>Surface errors sometimes make it difficult to</td>
<td>Surface errors make it very difficult to understand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
clear and concise.  Writing is clear and concise.  overall communication. Writing is mostly clear and/or a bit wordy. understand the writer's message. Writing is generally unclear and/or wordy.  

If you do not turn in the final draft on time, you can turn it in late with a 1 point penalty per day. However, you cannot turn in the final draft after the final exam date.

Example op-eds can be found…

- In the newspaper (e.g., https://www.nytimes.com/column/gray-matter)
- In the course readings for this course
- Or the examples below
  - https://time.com/4583843/stop-hate-influencers/

There are many places to find political psychology articles, including psychology (e.g., *Psychological Science*), social psychology (e.g., *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*), political science (e.g., *Journal of Politics*), and political psychology (e.g., *Political Psychology*) journals. See below for a variety of political psychology articles from recent issues of several journal. You do not need to use these articles, but they will help give you an idea of the types of articles that are acceptable. (apologies for the messy citations; the information was pulled from Google)

Example articles…


**The debate writing assignment** requires that you write a paper discussing and taking a position on a debate in the field of political psychology. There are multiple debates in the field, so to help simplify things you are required to write about one of the four debates below.

Your debate paper should...

• Describe the contours of the debate. For example, consider, what are the two primary positions in the debate? What does each of the positions claim? What kind of evidence do the positions use in favor of their position?

• Describe why the two sides of the debate disagree. For example, consider what type of evidence do they disagree about? Do they rely on different types of data? Do they have different standards of evidence? Do they use different definitions?

• Describe how the debate can be resolved. This can take a number of forms. For example, you might “resolve” the debate by suggesting that one side is more correct. In such a case, you need to describe why one position is more convincing than the other? What type of evidence would change your mind? You might also resolve the debate by suggesting a new way of looking at the debate, or identifying conditions where one perspective might be more or less likely to be right. How exactly you resolve the debate is up to you. Although this will contain your own opinion and analysis, it should be a principled analysis that is well justified using citations, scientific principles, or (air tight) logic.

• Incorporate and include at least 7 citations. This includes the two that I give you with the debate topics and other relevant citations that you find in your own literature search. You are encouraged to integrate more citations if they help you analyze the debate.

• Be between 5 and 7 pages (not including the pages for the title page, abstract, and references). I will not grade anything that appears past the 7th page. You should use double-spaced type, 12in font with Times New Roman or Palatino Linotype, 1 inch margins, and US Letter sized pages.
The debate writing assignment has three steps.

First, you will rank your preferences for topic. Based on these rankings, I will then assign topics. You will receive points for turning in your ranked preferences on time. Due to the nature of this task, it is not possible to make-up these points.

Second, you will write your paper. A first draft of your paper is necessary for our in-class writer's workshop. If you do not have a draft finished by this time, you will not be able to earn the points from this in-class activity. You will be able to use the feedback from this in-class activity to improve your paper. The paper is due at the exam time.

The debate paper will be graded with the following rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full Points</th>
<th>¾ Points</th>
<th>½ Points</th>
<th>¼ Points</th>
<th>0 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Articles</strong></td>
<td>Information is gathered from multiple, research-based sources beyond those given in the course.</td>
<td>Information is gathered from a few sources beyond those given in the course.</td>
<td>Information is gathered from a limited number of sources beyond those given in the course.</td>
<td>Information is gathered from a single source beyond those given in the course.</td>
<td>Information only includes sources given in the course.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theme</strong></td>
<td>Well organized, demonstrates logical sequencing and structure.</td>
<td>Well organized, but requires reader to “be in the head” of the writer to understand sequencing or structure.</td>
<td>Well organized, but demonstrates illogical sequencing or structure.</td>
<td>Weakly organized with no logical sequencing or structure.</td>
<td>No organization, sequencing, or structure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Background / Foundation</strong></td>
<td>The positions in the debate are accurately described and thoroughly evaluated.</td>
<td>The positions in the debate are described and evaluated.</td>
<td>The positions in the debate are described somewhat inaccurately and are not fully evaluated</td>
<td>The positions in the debate are described in accurately and not evaluated.</td>
<td>The debate is not described nor evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Own Position</strong></td>
<td>Own position is clearly articulated and well justified using an analysis of the research literature.</td>
<td>Own position is articulated, but is not as well justified using an analysis of the research literature.</td>
<td>Own position is not clearly articulated and justified without reference to the research literature.</td>
<td>Takes a position without any justification.</td>
<td>Does not take a position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference Sheet</strong></td>
<td>Information is cited properly and in APA format.</td>
<td>Information is cited properly.</td>
<td>Information is cited, but has errors.</td>
<td>Information is cited incorrectly.</td>
<td>Information is not cited.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Third, I will create small groups consisting of students working on the same debate. These people will work together to create a presentation about the debate and their analysis of the debate. The presentations will be given during the last week of the class and during the exam time. All group members must participate in the presentation. The presentation should be between 10 and 15 minutes long; there will be time for questions and discussion after each presentation. Although I suspect that many people will use a typical PowerPoint presentation for this, it can actually take any format. For example, if you create an elaborate skit that can be performed for the class and accomplish the goals of the assignment, go for it. If you create a musical with an intricate dance that can accomplish the goals of the assignment, amazing. My point is that using a PowerPoint presentation is acceptable and good, but that you are also free to be more creative if you’d like.

The debate presentation will be graded with the following rubric.
Your choice of topics for the debates are below

Are liberals or conservatives more biased reasoners?

bias in liberals and conservatives. *Perspectives on Psychological Science,* 17(4), 691-6796.


Is authoritarianism primarily found on the political left or the political right?


Are liberals or conservatives more prejudiced?


Do liberals and conservatives have different moral foundations?
